

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/974,777	10/09/2001	Max Schaldach	7040-45	6887	
21324	7590 07/21/2003				
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			EXAMINER		
TWIN OAKS ESTATE 1225 W. MARKET STREET			THALER, M	ICHAEL H	
AKRON, OH	44313	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
•			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			Λ . 1				
, p		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/974,777	SCHALDACH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Thaler	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	Mav 2003 .					
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.					
3)							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-66 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-66</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• • •					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
)						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/974,777

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 11-30, 47, 48 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by von Open (6,193,747) for the reasons set forth in paragraph 4 of the Office Action mailed Feb. 12, 2003.

Claims 1, 2 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Globerman (5,776,161) for the reasons set forth in paragraph 5 of the Office Action mailed Feb. 12, 2003.

Claims 31-46 and 49-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over von Oepen (6,193,747) in view of Kveen et al. (6,261,319) for the reasons set forth in paragraph 6 of the Office Action mailed Feb. 12, 2003.

Applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The allegation that the bar element portions of von Oepen are not "arranged in a V-shape" as claimed is incorrect. The bar element portions of von Oepen converge toward each other and meet and thus are "arranged in a V-shape" as broadly claimed. The term "V-shape" merely requires the element to be shaped like a "V" rather than being the precise shape of a "V". Even the bar element portions of applicant's invention are not arranged precisely in the shape of a "V" because they are curved while the sides of a "V" are straight. In addition, the top of each of the two arms of a "V" has a short horizontal bar which is absent from the bar element portions of applicant's invention. The allegation that the bar element portions of the first annular

Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

support portion of von Oepen are not "adapted to extend curvedly in an identical arcuate manner in the longitudinal direction of the stent" as claimed is incorrect. Viewing an annular support portion in figure 5 of von Oepen, the bar element portions include longer ones and shorter ones. Each of the longer bar element portions is identical to all of the other longer bar element portion within the annular support portion. Each of the shorter bar element portion is identical to all of the other shorter bar element portions within the annular support portion. Thus, the bar element portions extend curvedly in an identical arcuate manner as broadly claimed. The allegation that the S-shape of the von Oepen precludes the bar element portions from being curved "uniformly over a length Although the direction of thereof" as claimed is incorrect. curvature changes twice in an "S" along its entire length, the claims merely require the bar element portions to be curved "uniformly over a length thereof" (underlining added) which may be any short portion of the entire length of each bar element portion. These positions apply equally well for the Globerman reference.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not Application/Control Number: 09/974,777

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703)308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3590 for regular communications and (703)305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

mht July 16, 2003 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731